

User Interfaces and Visualization

http://delos.dis.uniroma1.it/default.aspx

Hanne Albrechtsen Risø National Laboratory Denmark



Participants in Delos wp 4:

Universities

- •Roma-1, Italy (cluster management)
- •CWI, Netherlands
- •Forth-ICS, Greece
- •Brunel, UK

Sector research institutes

FhG-IPSI, Germany

•Risø National Laboratory, Denmark



Overall goal:

 To elaborate a common understanding of the role and scope of UI research in the DL area and start the development of a theoretical framework

Objectives and tasks:

- 1. Identify empirical basis (Risø)
- 2. Characterize all life cycle phases (Forth-ICS)
- 3. Characterize the users (FhG-IPSI)
- 4. Characterize contexts/environments (Roma1)
- 5. Characterize visualisations (Roma1)
- 6. Develop theoretical framework (Roma1)



Problems:

Valuable digital libraries exist

+Access for users to digitized repositories

Valuable tools for knowledge integration exist

- + Content management through metadata
- Lack of technology support for collaborative content provision and collaborative research

Valuable knowledge about user interface design exists

- But user interfaces to DLs are often designed in an ad hoc manner.
- Empirical evaluation of DL user interfaces is rarely an integrated activity in DL design



Research strategies for 2004:

- •To integrate knowledge about state-of-the art through empirical analysis of digital libraries and users' experience
- •To reach a first foundation for a common understanding to build a theoretical framework for visualization in user interfaces to digital libraries
- •Collaboration 'outside' the consortium and dissemination of preliminary results



Work done

- 1. Data gathering and empirical analysis:
- •Case studies of advanced digital libraries
- Questionnaire surveys of DL users
- •Literature study
- 2. Dissemination:
- •Organization of workshop in 2005 on collaboration with wp 3 on audio-visual content and visualization in digital libraries
- •Preparation of joint report and publication of main results



Empirical basis, highlights from the work done:

- 1. Case studies of advanced digital libraries
- 2. Questionnaire surveys

Reported in the joint deliverable D4.1.1.:
"User Interfaces and Visualization"
By Roma1, Risoe, Forth-ICS and FhG/IPSI
(Draft: September 2004; Final version, October 2004)



Cases: four European digital library projects, funded by the European Commission's IST programme

Laurin – libraries and archives collecting newspaper clippings

Collate – collaboratory for film archive research
Scholnet – testbed for searching and annotation of
software concepts for DL development
I-Dove – Interactive support tool for development of

virtual environments



Input: in-depth descriptions of the digital libraries, prepared by the partners, according to guidelines developed to address:

- a. What are the domains covered by the DL
- b. Who are their users
- c. What are their services
- d. How have the DLs been developed
- e. What kinds of visual design have been created
- f. What are the future visions



- Analytical levels:

1. Domains:

- Work domains
- Knowledge domains

2. Users

- Stakeholders (Experts in mediation and content)
- End-users
- 3. Tasks/services



Work domains (involve company strategies and goals):

- 1. Archives and libraries (Collate and Laurin)
- 2. Development of common workspaces (I-Dove and Scholnet)

Knowledge domains (involve users' intentions):

- 1. Film knowledge (Collate)
- 2. Newspaper content (Laurin)
- 3. Design and development knowledge (I-Dove and Scholnet)



Tasks/services

Tasks:

- Integration of documentary knowledge (Collate, Laurin)
- Development and management of distributed knowledge (I-Dove, Scholnet)

Services:

- Indexing and annotation (Collate)
- Multilingual thesaurus (Laurin)
- Social navigation tools, tools for VE construction (I-Dove)
- Annotation and IR with relevance feedback (Scholnet)



Stakeholders/experts:

- Film archive staff, researchers (Collate)
- Librarians in public libraries, journalists (Laurin)
- Content managers (I-Dove, Scholnet)

End-users:

- Broad spectrum (Collate, Laurin)
- Developers (I-Dove, Scholnet)



First results:

Development of a preliminary taxonomy of domain, user, task, services in advanced digital libraries

First suggestions for integration of taxonomy with taxonomy for interface design:

- 1. Domains, tasks, users
- 2. Abstraction levels (means and ends for each level of analysis)
- 3. Action scenarios (task situations)
- 4. Skill-rule-knowledge behavior (users' cognitive behavior)



Questionnaire surveys:

- 1. Questionnaire for digital library end-users
- 2. Questionnaire for digital library stakeholders

Main variables:

User background and characteristics

Current experience

Functional requirements

Non-functional requirements (eg. Usability, safety, ethics)

45 responses (12 stakeholders)



Questionnaire surveys, Preliminary results

Functional requirements:

Communication, collaboration important to stakeholders, less important to end-users
Preeminence of the 'document metaphor'

Non-functional requirements:

Conceptual rift between end-users and stakeholders re. usability and accessibility



Questionnaire surveys,

Stakeholders:

- + DLs should be easy to learn
- DLs should be satisfactory to novel users

End-users:

- + Universal usability support
- Satisfactory to expert users



Future work, 1:

- Evaluation of DLs througout their entire life cycle Analytical empirical, when and how, and who to involve (stakeholders, end-users)
- Visualization based on empirical analysis of 'domains, tasks, users'
 Stable features: models for characterization Dynamic features: action scenarios, design collaboratories, evaluation collaboratories



Future work, 2:

3. Taxonomy of interface metaphors for inspiring the creation of visual, audial etc. representations in the user interface of DLs

The 'Onion model' for empirical analysis of domain task user









